

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/708,966                                 | 04/03/2004    | Barbu Horia Hanciulesco  | 2965<br>EXAMINER    |                 |
| 75   | 90 04/14/2006 |                          |                     |                 |
| Barbu Horia Hanciulesco                    |               |                          | LE, HUYEN D         |                 |
| 15 Skylark Dr. Ap.21<br>Larkspur, CA 94939 |               |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |               |                          | 3751                |                 |
|  |               | DATE MAIL ED: 04/14/2006 |                     |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/708,966  | HANCIULESCO, BARBU HORIA   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| •  |   | 3751   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | Huyen Le  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ap   | <u>oril 2004</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | ate Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/708,966

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1-6 are too small to view clearly. Applicant need to enlarge the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title such as "Clean Air Toilet System Tank or C.A.T.S-Tank". It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The innovative concept is," "The

Application/Control Number: 10/708,966 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. and avoid to underline words and terms.

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to as not being of proper claim syntax, which renders the claim indefinite and difficult to examine. Claim(s) must be begin with a capital letter and end with a period and nowhere else should periods be used. The claim should be carefully reviewed and revised such that each of the claimed elements has a proper antecedent basis and the necessary structural relationships between the elements are properly define. Many terms and words in the claim have been capitalized. Capitalizing terminology should be avoided and used when appropriate. Appropriate correction is required. Applicant may refer to cited US Patent references as examples of how claims are properly written.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim is narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational languages, such as, "Cleans the Odorous Air before it is released outside, thus protecting the exterior environment c) protects the C.A.T.S-Tank from clogging", "The distance between the Grille Air and Odor Filter will variable, depending on the toilet bowl and toilet seat type", "The Air Grille and Odor Air Filter is a novel element with a novel function and location", "The duct size has to be adequate to the function of

Art Unit: 3751

circulating and carrying out the odor air", "The Odor Air Duct inside the water tank is a novel concept, location and function". These languages should be deleted. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Scott, III, Curiel and Eger teach a toilet ventilation system.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thuyen Le